# DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

#### RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

**Fulton Financial Realty Company** 

Facility Address:		1695 State Street, East Petersburg, PA	
Facility	EPA ID#:	PAD 08 243 4747	
groundwater me		e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the dia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units lated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this EI determination?	
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.	
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or	
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.	

### **BACKGROUND**

**Facility Name:** 

#### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### <u>Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI</u>

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

## **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

## **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	Is <b>groundwater</b> known or reasonably suspected to be " <b>contaminated</b> " above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?		
	<u>X</u>	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.	
		If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."	
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.	

#### **Rationale and Reference(s):**

The RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) determined that several sources at the Facility contributed to groundwater contamination. The investigation identified theses sources to be the Hamilton Sludge Pit, Two Concrete Basins, Three Surface Impoundments, and Two Drum Storage Areas. Volatile organic compounds are the main contaminates detected in groundwater. The most recent levels of contaminates are listed below:

<u>Constituents</u>	<b>Concentrations</b>
cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene (DCE)	< 2 - 1000 ug/L
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene (DCE)	< 1 - 23 ug/L
cis/trans 1,2- Dichloroethylene (DCE)	< 3 - 1023 ug/L
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	2.3 - 581 ug/L
Vinyl Chloride	2.3 - 1724 ug/L

(RFI Report, Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report 2001)

### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup>"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is

*	pected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring cations designated at the time of this determination)?		
_X_	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ).		
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.		
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		

#### **Rationale and Reference(s):**

3.

Historical groundwater sampling data collected from 1988-1995 suggested that natural attenuation is the likely mechanism for the reduction of groundwater plume size and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) concentration levels. To confirm this theory, the Facility conducted a study that involved four consecutive quarterly groundwater sampling to demonstrate natural attenuation, predominantly bioremediation, as an effective measure to groundwater remediation and migration control. The study included measurements of VOCs concentrations, and geochemical and microbiological parameters, which are necessary for bioremediation. Results of the study indicated the presence of groundwater geochemical and microbiological parameters for effectual biodegradation of the VOCs and concluded that natural attenuation is a feasible approach to groundwater remediation and migration control. Since 1997, annual groundwater monitoring has verified the effectiveness of natural attenuation as evident in the reduction in plume size and VOCs concentration levels.

Supplementary to natural attenuation as the principal remedy, the Facility installed a sump discharge treatment system to treat TCE-contaminated groundwater collected from the boiler room sump. The system includes two canisters of granulated-activated carbon to treat the contaminated groundwater prior to discharge into the storm drain

The groundwater plume is stabilized within the Facility property line. Annual groundwater monitoring will continue to assure that the groundwater plume is under control and is contained within the Facility property line. (Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report, 2001)

<sup>2</sup> "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contaminated" groundwater <b>discharge</b> into <b>surface water</b> bodies?		
		If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.	
		If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.	
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.	

## **Rationale and Reference(s):**

As part of the RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI), the Facility conducted surface water sampling and analyses at two locations inside the boundaries of the Facility. No specific contaminants of concern were detected in the surface water samples. Therefore, the results concluded that there have been no detectable adverse impacts to surface water from the Facility. (RFI Report, Fulton Financial Statement of Basis)

Page 5

Is the <b>discharge</b> of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be " <b>insignificant</b> " (i.e., maximum concentration <sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times the appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?		
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.	
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration <sup>3</sup> of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations <sup>3</sup> greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.	
Detionals and De	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	
	discharging conta	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Page 6

6.	Can the <b>discharge</b> of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be " <b>currently</b> acceptable" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented <sup>4</sup> )?		
		If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.	
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be " <b>currently acceptable</b> ") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.	
		If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.	
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

7.	Will groundwater <b>monitoring</b> / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"		
	<u>X</u>	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."	
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.	
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	

### **Rationale and Reference(s):**

Pursuant to the Consent Order annual groundwater monitoring will continue at the Facility to confirm the perennial effectiveness of natural attenuation to remediate groundwater contaminants and migration control. Should site conditions change such that unexpected migration of the plume takes place or natural attenuation is ineffective, additional actions will be implemented to address these issues. As it stands, EPA expects the Facility to continue monitoring until it can be demonstrated by two consecutive sampling events that the entire contaminant plume has attained clean-up levels for the following contaminants of concern: (Consent Order U.S. EPA Docket Number: RCRA-III-042-CA)

Clean-up Levels
5 ppb
2 ppb 55 ppb

Page 8

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).			
X	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater verified. Based on a review of the information contains it has been determined that the "Migration of Contains" Under Control" at the Fulton Financial Realty Company PAD 08 243 4747, located at 1695 State Street, East Specifically, this determination indicates that the migroundwater is under control, and that monitoring with the contaminated groundwater remains within the "contaminated groundwater". This determination will Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is needed to make a determination is needed to make a determination.	nined in this EI determination, minated Groundwater" is npany facility, EPA ID # st Petersburg, PA. gration of "contaminated" vill be conducted to confirm existing area of be re-evaluated when the e facility. undwater is observed or expected.	
Completed by	(signature) (print) Khai M. Dao (title) Remedial Project Manager	Date <u>04/16/99</u>	
Supervisor	(signature) (print) Paul Gotthold (title) PA Operations Branch Chief (EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3	Date <u>04/16/99</u>	
US EPA Region III Waste and Chen 1650 Arch Stree Philadelphia, PA			
(name)			

(phone #)

(e-mail)

(215) 814-5467 dao.khai@epa.gov